Appendix 9 – DCP Compliance Table – 109 & 113 - 115 Springwood Street Ettalong and 1A Britannia Street Umina Beach

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comme |
|----------------------|--|------------|-----------------|
| CHAPTER 2.2 DUAL | | | |
| OCCUPANCY AND | | | |
| MULTI DWELLING | | | |
| HOUSING | | | |
| 2.2.2.SITE AND | 2.2.2.1 Site and Local Context Analysis | Yes | A Site A |
| CONTEXT ANALYSIS | OBJECTIVE | | identifie |
| | • To encourage design that results from an analysis of the site and local character and capacity, and its suitability for the proposed development | | surrour |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | include |
| | 2.2.2.1.1 Site Analysis | | should |
| | a It is highly desirable that contact with neighbours be established at the site analysis stage. Talk to them about how the proposal will affect them and review | | support |
| | the location of outdoor living areas, fencing, pools, living rooms and other specific features that may influence the design of the development. | | |
| | b A Site Analysis shall be carried out as the first step in the design process and the outcomes of that analysis must be reflected in the design of the | | |
| | development. The character of the site must determine the design of the development, rather than the design of the development dominating the character | | |
| | of the site. | | |
| | c The site Analysis Plan identifies existing conditions relating to the development site and existing design constraints on adjacent sites, which are likely to | | |
| | The detail of the plan should be tailored to the size and complexity of the proposed | | |
| | development | | |
| | development. | | |
| | A site Analysis Flan shall be submitted with any Development Application. The following is an indicative checklist of issues to be addressed by the site | | |
| | Aliarysis Fiall. | | |
| | ii tonography: slope of the land at 0.2m intervals where cut and fill or benching of the site is proposed. (otherwise 1.0m intervals) and direction of fall: | | |
| | iii streetscape: sethack patterns, position and form of existing bouses and developments on adjacent and opposite lands: overall height and shadows from | | |
| | adiacent huildings. | | |
| | iv context: location of the site in relation to transport, nearby schools, community facilities or shops. (Special consideration for prominent sites including | | |
| | elevated or rural land, corner sites, heritage and cultural issues); | | |
| | v vegetation: existing trees and vegetation on the land, on adjoining land and in the street / locality and their true canopy spread within or onto the site; | | |
| | vi privacy: any windows or private areas of neighbouring developments facing the land; | | |
| | vii noise and light: location and extent of nearby sources of noise or light impacts (e.g. major roads, intersections, sports fields or commercial areas); | | |
| | viii views: consideration of any view corridors to and from the site and neighbours' views; | | |
| | ix prevailing winds: these can vary for a particular site, e.g. coastal areas. Orientation to take advantage of prevailing breezes for natural ventilation can add | | |
| | greatly to comfort levels within the dwelling; | | |
| | x services: location of utility services (including stormwater drainage lines, electricity poles and kerb crossings); | | |
| | xi vehicle access: best position for a driveway; | | |
| | xii survey constraints: surveyed location of any easements, rights of way or other relevant restrictions; | | |
| | xiii security: any natural surveillance opportunities to and from the site; | | |
| | xiv existing structures: including details of existing fences, retaining walls and buildings on site | | |
| | 2.2.2.1.2 Contextual Analysis | Yes | The Arc |
| | a The aim of contextual analysis is to recognise why a place is as it is and to reflect that analysis in the design of the proposed development. Contextual | | SEE, pro |
| | analysis will highlight the elements that reinforce the locality's desired identity as well as the inconsistencies that could detract from it. | | |
| | b A contextual analysis shall be submitted with applications, addressing the following: I the social context; II the economic context; III the environmental | | |
| | context; iv the urban design context, including consideration of existing built form and predominant streetscape pattern. | No. | The sume |
| 2.2.3 BUILDING SCALE | | Yes | The pro |
| | UBJECTIVES | | control |
| | To ensure that buildings are compatible with the existing and desired future character of the locality To ensure that the height of huildings maintains reasonable amonity for neighbouring properties in terms of visual hulk access to suplight, privacy and | | |
| | - To ensure that the height of buildings maintains reasonable amenity for heighbournig properties in terms of visual build, access to sumght, privacy and vious | | |
| | • To ensure that building beight is compatible with the scenic qualities of billside and ridgeton locations | | |
| | RECHIREMENTS | | |
| | a Central Coast LEP 2022 contains a Height of Building Man for certain areas within the Local Government Area (LGA). In accordance with Clause 4.3 (2) of | | |
| | Central Coast LEP 2022, the height of a building in these areas is not to exceed the maximum height indicated on this man excent as provided for by Central | | |
| | Coast LEP 2022 Clause 5.6-Architectural Roof Features. | | |
| J | | 1 | |

DCP Compliance Table – 109 & 113 - 115 Springwood Street Ettalong and 1A Britannia Street Umina Beach

nt

Analysis Plan is provided at **Appendix 2** of the SEE which ies the existing conditions relating to the subject site and the nding land that may influence the design outcome. This es an analysis of opportunities and constraints. This plan be considered in conjunction with the SEE and other rting technical plans and reports.

chitectural Plans at **Appendix 2** of the SEE, together with the ovide a comprehensive contextual analysis.

oposal complies with the maximum 8.5m building height I prescribed for the site under the CCLEP 2022.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | | Compliance | Comme |
|----------------|---|---|------------|----------|
| | b The maximum building height for dwellings in areas not specifically mapped by the Central Co | bast LEP 2022 is 10m. | | |
| | c Building Height for development proposals under this chapter shall generally not exceed two | storeys in height. Three storey development will generally only | | |
| | be supported on steeply sloping sites, where the three-storey component extends for only a sn | nall section of the development. | | |
| 2.2.4 BUILDING | 2.2.4.1 Lot Size Requirements | | | |
| DENSITY | OBJECTIVES | | | |
| | • To have development sites and densities that are appropriate and compatible with the local c | context | | |
| | • To ensure that lot size and the proposed development considers the natural features of a site | and locality | | |
| | To ensure appropriate vehicle and pedestrian access is provided. | | | |
| | • To provide an appropriate area on site for landscaping, outdoor activities and stormwater infi | iltration | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | | |
| | a Central Coast LEP 2022 specifies the minimum lot sizes for dual occupancy development. | | | |
| | b For sites where the dual occupancy development is configured in a front and rear or "battle-a | axe" style, the minimum area of the lot must be: | | |
| | i 1000 m2 on sites with a slope from 15 to 20%, | | | |
| | ii 1200m2 on sites with a slope greater than 20% and less than 25%, | | | |
| | c dual occupancy development is unlikely to be supported on sites with a slope of 25% or more | <u>.</u> | | |
| | Note – for proposals on sloping or steep sites, applicants should contact Council to ascertain th | e level of geotechnical information required. | | |
| | d Where a dual occupancy development is proposed on an existing battle-axe allotment, the ex | kisting lot is to have a minimum area of 800 m2 excluding the | | |
| | area of the access handle. On sloping sites the provisions of s. 2.2.4.1 b above apply. | | | |
| | 2.2.4.2 Floor Space Ratio | | Yes | The pro |
| | OBJECTIVES | | | control |
| | To have development sites and densities that are appropriate in the zone and compatible with | h the local context | | |
| | To ensure building bulk and site coverage provisions are compatible with neighbouring development | opment | | |
| | To ensure the intensity of the use of the site is appropriate | | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | | |
| | a Central Coast LEP 2022 contains a Floor Space Ratio map and the relevant considerations for | certain areas within the Central Coast LGA. | | |
| | b The maximum floor space ratio for development proposals subject to this Chapter that relate | to land not included in the Floor Space Ratio Map of Central | | |
| | Coast LEP 2022 is specified in Table 2 below: | | | |
| | Form of housing Floor Space Ratio (where not specified in Central Coast LEP 2022) | | | |
| | Dual Occupancy and semi-detached 0.5:1 | | | |
| | dwellings (except in rural or environmental | | | |
| | living zones) | | | |
| | Multi-dwelling housing and attached 0.6:1 | | | |
| | dweinings | | | |
| | Table 2 Floor Space Ratio requirements | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 2.2.4.3 Site Coverage | | Yes | As prov |
| | OBJECTIVES | | | SEE. the |
| | To provide an area on site that enables soft landscaping and deep soil planting | | | landsca |
| | • To provide suitable internal amenity | | | |
| | • To provide appropriate separation between buildings in the local context | | | |
| | • To provide areas on site that permit stormwater infiltration | | | |
| | • To protect the existing scenic quality and complement the landscape character of the locality | | | |
| | REQUIREMENT | | | |
| | a For all development types that are subject to this chapter a minimum 25% of site area at grou | und level shall be 'soft' landscaping, excluding all hardstand | | |
| | areas. Private Open space areas and setback areas may be included in this calculation only whe | ere these do not include hardstand surfaces. | | |
| 2.2.5 BUILDING | OBJECTIVES | | Yes | As the S |
| SETBACKS | To ensure that setbacks are compatible with adjacent development and complements the characteristic setbacks. | aracter, streetscape and natural areas | | wide. a |
| | To ensure the visual focus of a development is the dwelling, not the garage | | | street s |
| | • To ensure views, privacy and solar access of adjacent properties are reasonably maintained | | | sight pr |
| | To reasonably maintain view corridors to coastal foreshores and other desirable outlooks | | | setback |
| | To maintain the scenic and environmental gualities of natural waterbodies and their foreshor | es and respond to site attributes such as topography | | |
| | To provide deep soil areas sufficient to provide new landscaping | | | The pro |
| | To provide appropriate articulation of facades and horizontal elements reduce the appearance | e of bulk and provides visual interest to the building and | | Springw |
| | subsequent streetscape where they face a street frontage/s | | | minimu |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | | Adenua |
| L | | | I | , acqua |

DCP Compliance Table – 109 & 113 - 115 Springwood Street Ettalong and 1A Britannia Street Umina Beach

ent

dual occupancy is not proposed.

oposal complies with the maximum 0.5:1 floor space ratio I prescribed for the site under the CCLEP 2022.

vided on the Landscape Plans included at **Appendix 3** of the e proposal provides a minimum 36% of site area as 'soft' aping, in compliance with this control.

Springwood Street road reserve appears to be more than 12m minimum 4.5m front setback is required. The secondary setback (Britannia Street) is to be 3m, plus compliance with reservation. The rear setback is to be 3m, while the side k is to be 0.9m.

oposal complies, providing a minimum setback of 6m to wood Street, a minimum setback of 3m to Britannia Street, a um rear setback of 4.1m and a minimum side setback of 10m. ate sight lines at the street corner are also provided.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | | Compliance |
|-------------|---|--|------------|
| | For all development proposed under this cha | pter the following setbacks shall apply: | |
| | Aspect | Minimum Setback Required | |
| | a Front setbacks | i Classified roads: 7.5m | |
| | | front setbacks of the nearest 2 dwelling houses having the same primary road boundary and located within 40m of the lot on which the dwelling house is erected, or if 2 dwelling houses are not located within 40m of the lot | |
| | | - 4.5m Note: For the purpose of calculating the setbacks of the nearest 2 dwellings any anciliary development is to be disregarded iii Roads where the road reserve is less than 12m wide: 6.0m | |
| | | Note: Dwellings must have direct access to a public road for pedestrian access, mail and waste collection. | |
| | b Side setbacks | i for any part of the building with a height of up to 4.5m—0.9m, and ii for any part of the building with a height of more than 4.5m—0.9m plus one-quarter of the height of the building above 4.5m Nate: Unbroken lengths of wall exceeding 10m in length and 3 m in height shall not be permitted. | |
| | c Rear setbacks | (ii) To a parallel road or public reserve-3.0m Note: Setbacks to Public Reserves adjoining a Natural Waterbody are specified below (iii) Where a property is within the Coastal Hazard | |
| | d Garages | Planning Area as per Chapter 3.2 Coastal Management i Local Roads 5.5m applies to garages where they are accessed directly from the road system, except ii Classified roads - 7.5m applies | |
| | e. Corner Allotments side street – dual occupancy Note: On corner allotments the side street is generally taken to be the boundary with the greater frontage. | i 2.0m, plus compliance with sight preservation lines | |
| | f Detached dual occupancy on a corner allotment | A detached dual occupancy on a corner lot is required to address both street frontages. Each dwelling will have a frontage to a "nominated" primary road. Setbacks to the other boundaries on the site will be considered side boundary setbacks. | |
| | g Corner Allotments side street -multi dwelling housing | i 3.0m, plus compliance with sight preservation lines | |

nent



| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comme |
|--------------------|--|------------|------------------|
| 2.2.6 BUILT FORM & | 2.2.6.1 Facades & Articulation | Yes | The pro |
| ARTICULATION | OBJECTIVES | | particul |
| | To ensure design of development is of a high quality which contributes positively to the streetscape | | desired |
| | To ensure design of development consistent with the desired character of the area | | |
| | To ensure design of development visually interesting, offering variety to the observer whilst presenting an integrated design outcome REQUIREMENTS | | Unbrok metres |
| | a Facades are to be articulated in length and height. Unbroken lengths of wall exceeding 10 metres in length and 3 metres in height shall not be provided. In | | away fr |
| | and eave projections and indeptations reafed desks, persolas, awaines and other permanent | | |
| | shading structures, etc. A mixture of building materials including masenny, timber and glass is oncouraged | | |
| | b Carage prominence is to be minimised: | | |
| | i For multi-dwelling bousing or attached dwellings garages are to be located behind the front setback of the building so as not to visually dominate the | | |
| | streetscape. Garages which are visible from the street shall not exceed 50% of the lineal frontage of the building, must respect the architectural qualities of | | |
| | the building and integrate with the overall presentation of the development. | | |
| | ii For dual occupancy development or semi-detached dwellings, the total width of all garage doors openings when within 7.5m and facing a primary road or | | |
| | parallel road must not exceed: | | |
| | 6m if: | | |
| | the lot has a width measured at the building line of 12m or less, or | | |
| | • 6m, or 60% of the width of the building (whichever is the greater) if the lot has a width measured at the building line of more than 12m. | | |
| | 2.2.6.2 Roof Elements | Yes | The pro |
| | OBJECTIVES | | access |
| | • To ensure that roof top structures and roof design do not detract from the architectural merit of the building and to maintain the privacy of adjoining sites. REQUIREMENTS | | |
| | a Roof design is to respond to the orientation of the site. For example by using eaves and skillion roofs to respond to solar access. | | |
| | b Roof top gardens, terraces, decks and enclosures shall be suitably set back from the building edge to maintain the privacy of adjoining sites. | | |
| | c Minimise the impact of service elements by integrating them into the design of the roof. | | |
| | 2.2.6.3 Residential Address | Yes | The pro |
| | OBJECTIVES | | dwellin |
| | To encourage positive social interaction between new residents. | | semi-pr |
| | • To promote a safe residential environment by providing for surveillance and by distinguishing private, semi-private and semi-public areas within new | | the use |
| | developments | | |
| | • To provide easy identification for visitors and emergency services | | living a |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | opport |
| | a For all dwellings located at the front of each development ensure that the street can be seen from windows of regularly occupied rooms, as well as from | | |
| | upper-storey balconies and private terraces or courtvards at ground level. | | |
| | b Above-ground parking carports and fully-enclosed garages must not be located within any facade facing a street, a park or major communal open space. | | |
| | where they would block desired sight lines. | | |
| | c Street number and building access to be easily identified from the street. | | |
| | 2.2.6.4 Design Integration | - | N/A-t |
| | OBJECTIVE | | building |
| | To ensure that building elements are integrated into the overall building form and facade design | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | |
| | a Where existing buildings are to be retained as part of an overall proposal, they shall be sufficiently upgraded to integrate with the new development. The | | |
| | integration of old and new shall be carefully considered in terms of: | | |
| | i architectural features and form; | | |
| | ii roof form; | | |
| | iii external building materials colours and finishes; | | |
| | iv Location and orientation; and | | |
| | v Dwelling curtilage. | | |
| | Details of how the proposed development responds to these items are to be included in the Statement of Environmental Effects. | | |
| | b Development proposals which incorporate existing buildings shall be accompanied by a floor plan and elevations of the existing building, as well as a | | |
| | schedule of externals colours and materials for the development. | | |
| 2.2.7 RESIDENTIAL | 2.2.7.1 Views | Yes | The site |
| AMENITY | OBJECTIVES | | current |
| | To facilitate view sharing outcomes | | public o |
| L | | 1 | 1.1.2.2.2.4 |

ent

oposal achieves a high level of building and roof articulation, Ilarly along the Britania Street frontage, consistent with the d character of the area.

ken lengths of wall exceeding 10 metres in length and 3 s in height have been avoided and garages are positioned rom both street frontages.

oposal incorporates skillion roof elements to maximise solar to living areas and achieve visual interest.

oposal provides clearly defined pedestrian entrances to each ng from Springwood Street and Britannia Street. Private and rivate areas within the development are demarcated through e of fencing and landscaping.

areas and outdoor terraces front the street to provide cunities for surveillance over the street.

the proposal does not involve the retention of an existing g / structure.

e and surrounding area are relatively flat and there are tly no views or vistas available through the site from the domain or adjoining development. Notwithstanding, the

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comm | |
|----------------------|---|------------|----------|--|
| | • To have opportunities for public vistas and public views from streets and public places protected and enhanced through building design, location and | | propos | |
| | landscape design | | reason | |
| | • To protect views by permitting development which minimises the obstruction of such views where enjoyed from internal and external living areas | | clear vi | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | | |
| | a Development is to be sited and designed to enable a sharing of views with surrounding private properties, particularly from habitable rooms. | | | |
| | b The design of the roof form is to provide for view sharing. This may be achieved by consideration of the roof pitch and type (including flat roofs), increasing | | | |
| | the setback on an upper level or by lowering the proposal in whole or in part. | | | |
| | c Applicants shall demonstrate that buildings have been designed 'from the ground up', with floors located at or near to natural ground level and | | | |
| | incorporating reasonable ceiling heights and roof pitch. | | | |
| | d A visual analysis illustrating the impacts of the proposed development upon views may be required for developments which have the potential to obstruct | | | |
| | views. The analysis will be required to outline the impact of the development on the views of all affected properties. | | | |
| | e Where there is a potential loss of view for nearby properties, applications are to address the NSW Land and Environment Court Planning Principles relating | | | |
| | to view sharing. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | OBJECTIVES | | interna | |
| | • To provide and maintain reasonable levels of visual privacy both internally and externally, during day and night. To provide and maintain reasonable levels of visual privacy both internally and externally, during day and night. | | | |
| | • To maximise outlook and views from living rooms and private open space without compromising visual privacy | | A comp | |
| | • To ensure a high level of amenity by protecting the privacy of residents both within dwellings and in private open space areas | | screeni | |
| | REQUIREIVIENTS | | betwee | |
| | 2.2.7.2.1 VISUal PTIVACY | | | |
| | of windows and balconios, screening devices and landscaping | | | |
| | b Where living area windows or balconies of dwallings are proposed within 12 metres of and facing living area windows or balconies of adjacent dwallings | | | |
| | windows should offset from the edge of the opposite window and balconies be screened or oriented to ensure visual privacy. | | | |
| | Windows should onset nom the edge of the opposite window and bacomes be screened of orienteed to ensure visual privacy. | | | |
| | consequent loss of privacy | | | |
| | Windows which are orientated towards adjoining properties and do not adequately restrict overlooking will be required to be opaque finish or located at | | | |
| | appropriate heights above floor level to minimise overlooking of adjoining properties. See Figure 3. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | screening balcony | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Window offset Balcony offset Window screening | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Pergola Planter Screen planting | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Building offset Radial plan Building orientation | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Figure 3 Examples of potential solutions for maintaining privacy | | | |
| DCP Compliance Table | - 109 & 113 - 115 Springwood Street Ettalong and 14 Britannia Street Umina Reach | • | • | |
| Der compliance rable | - 103 & 113 - 113 Shungwood Succi Ettalong and tA Britannia Succi Oninia Deach | | | |

ent

sed single storey design and skillion roof features allow for the nable sharing of views, and the siting of the units maintains views through the site from Springwood Street. Overall, no se view loss impacts are anticipated.

oposed single storey design avoids direct overlooking between al living areas and private open space areas within the site.

bination of appropriate setbacks, 1.8m high solid fencing and ing landscaping will maintain appropriate levels of privacy en the western most unit and the adjoining unit development.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comme |
|-------------|---|---------------------|-----------|
| | 2.2.7.2.2 Acoustic Privacy | Yes | In order |
| | a Site layout should separate active recreational areas, parking areas, vehicle access ways and service equipment areas from bedroom areas of dwellings. | | areas fo |
| | b Development adjacent to potential sources of high levels of external noise shall minimise the entry of that noise through building design window | | drivewa |
| | placement, noise attenuation measures and external wall treatment. | | proposa |
| | | | all but t |
| | | | commoi |
| | 2.2.7.3 Private Open Space Areas OBJECTIVES | Variation sought | Private o |
| | • To ensure private open space areas are functional and responsive to the environment, thereby promoting the enjoyment of outdoor living for residents | | |
| | • To ensure private open space areas (in particular balconies) integrate with the overall architectural form and detail of the development | | Each un |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | ground |
| | a Private open space for each dwelling is to have with a minimum area of 45 square metres and a minimum dimension of 4.5 metres. These areas are | | outdoor |
| | h Dequired private courtwards shall not evered a maximum grade of 1:14 to entimice useability for residents | | requirer |
| | b Required private courtyards shall not exceed a maximum grade of 1:14 to optimise useability for residents. | | areas to |
| | the coloulation for private onen cross areas | | function |
| | the calculation for private open space areas. | | function |
| | a Required ground level private open space may be provided in up to two locations for each dwelling, subject to compliance with the minimum dimension. | | space di |
| | e Ground level courtyards are not permitted within the front building setback area fronting local roads. | | accomm |
| | 2.2.7.4 Common Onen Cross Multi Dualling Hausing and Attacked Duallings | | as neces |
| | 2.2.7.4 Common Open Space – Multi Dwelling Housing and Attached Dwellings | - | N/A - tr |
| | a communal open spaces is to be provided for developments with more than ten dwellings | Vac | The site |
| | | res | ine site |
| | UBJECTIVE | | from ho |
| | | | achiovo |
| | A CONTREMENTS | | achieves |
| | a off sure 21, 50% of the required principal private open space area for an dwennings should receive at least 5 hours of unobstructed sunlight access between | | space al |
| | b Dwellings should be orientated to allow ontimum solar access for internal living areas | | private |
| | c On lune 21, 50% of the required principal private open space on adjoining land should receive at least 3 hours of unobstructed sunlight access between | | internal |
| | 9 am and 3 pm. Any proposed variation to this provision must demonstrate: | | mavimis |
| | i the proposed development complies with the building beight and building setback requirements of this chapter | | window |
| | ii the proposed development complies with the ballang height and ballang setablek requirements of this enapter | | living an |
| | iii that the adjoining development has not sufficiently considered likely future development and site constraints such as lot orientation in the location of | | the east |
| | nrivate onen space | | the onn |
| | d Developments that are 2 or more storeys in height or greater shall provide shadow diagrams based on a survey of the site and adjoining development | | the day |
| | showing shadow casting at 9 am 12 noon and 3 nm on lune 21 (winter solstice). The shadow diagrams must show the impact of shadowing from the | | |
| | proposed development fencing cut and fill as well as existing development on the proposed development and adjoining properties | | CKDS Ar |
| | | | sunlight |
| | | | Overall, |
| | | | conside |
| | | | propose |
| | 2 2 8 1 Car Parking | Yes | With the |
| ACCESS | OBJECTIVES | | provide |
| | • To have car parking designed in sympathy with the development without becoming the dominant feature on the streetscape. | | promotion |
| | • To provide adequate on-site parking that relates to the environmental and physical constraints of the site | | Althoug |
| | • To have car parking areas that minimise the potential for pedestrian and vehicle conflict | | is suitab |
| | To design connections to alternative transport modes such as walking, cycling and public transport | | with thi |
| | • To provide adequate on-site parking relative to the occupancy of the dwelling. | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | |
| | a Car parking within setbacks to classified roads shall not be permitted. | | |
| | b Where parking is proposed within a side or rear building setback and is exposed to adjoining properties. suitable landscaping shall be provided along the | | |
| | boundary to soften the visual impact of the parking and to provide for stormwater infiltration. | | |
| | | | • |

DCP Compliance Table – 109 & 113 - 115 Springwood Street Ettalong and 1A Britannia Street Umina Beach

nt

r to achieve private open space areas directly adjoining living or each unit, one bedroom from each unit adjoins the internal ay. Notwithstanding, given the small scale nature of the al, no adverse amenity impacts are anticipated. Importantly, two of the bedrooms across the development share a n wall with a garage.

open space area should be a minimum of 45m2 with m dimension of 4.5m.

it is provided with a generous private open space area at level, next to the living areas, as well as additional functional r space. The POS provided to Units 1 and 4 is in excess of ments (59.2m and 66.12m), provided across two separate o maximise solar access opportunities. While slightly below ments (31.36m2), the POS to Units 2 and 3 provide highly hal spaces directly adjoining living areas. Additional outdoor irectly adjoins the POS for each unit, providing space to modate a vegetable garden or additional landscaping, as well ssary utilities.

ne proposal only involves four dwellings.

has some constraints in terms of its configuration and the position the driveway along the northern boundary away oth street frontages. To provide a highly functional layout that is a good level of overall amenity, including private open reas directly accessible from living areas, and also provide surveillance opportunities over the street, living areas and open space areas have been positioned away from the driveway along the northern elevation. Accordingly, to se solar access, the units incorporate large clerestory is above living areas. In addition, two of the units incorporate reas with dual aspects and additional private open spaces at tern and western side elevations to provide residents with portunity to seek solar access to outdoor areas throughout .

chitecture has confirmed that the units achieve adequate access, generally in compliance with DCP requirements.

having regard to the site configuration, the proposal is red to provide an acceptable level of solar access to the ed dwellings.

e exception of the at-grade visitor space, all parking is d in the form of attached double garages.

sh located within the rear setback, the at-grade visitor space bly screened by soft landscaping and fencing in compliance is control.



| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comm |
|-------------|---|------------|----------|
| | c One of the required resident car parking spaces shall be provided in the form of an enclosed space for each dwelling with minimum dimensions of 3 metres | | |
| | width by 5.5 metres length and a minimum opening of 2.7 metres width. | | |
| | d Consideration should be given to separate access driveways on corner allotments. | | |
| | e All car parking calculations are to be rounded up to the next whole number. | | |
| | f Resident car parking is to be provided in accordance with the parking rates identified in Chapter 2.13 Transport and Parking | | |
| | g Visitor parking shall be provided for all multi dwelling housing and attached dwelling development at the rate of 1 space per 5 units, with a minimum of 1 | | |
| | visitor space per development. In addition: | | |
| | i Visitor parking must be clearly identifiable, delineated by stencilling "VISITOR" on the space(s) and is to remain available for use at all times. | | |
| | ii One (1) visitor space where required is to be available for car washing and have appropriate tap and drainage facilities provided for that purpose. | | |
| | iii Visitor car parking is generally not encouraged within the front setback. Where this is considered to be the only feasible alternative, the space(s) shall be | | |
| | setback a minimum of 3.0 metres from the frontage and only where suitably screened by landscaping. | | |
| | h All geometric standards applicable to site access and car parking layout shall be in accordance with Chapter 2.13 – Parking and Access and Australian | | |
| | Standard AS/NZS 2890.1. Applicants should obtain a copy of the relevant vehicle turning circles from Australian Standard AS/NZS 2890.1 to ensure | | |
| | compliance with the 85th percentile vehicle. | | |
| | i For sites to be accessed from a classified road or where car parking is proposed along or at the end of a common driveway, an adequate manoeuvring area | | |
| | must also be provided on-site so that the vehicles of residents can enter and leave the site in a forward manner using no more than a 3 point turn. | | |
| | Applications should include turning templates on the plans to demonstrate compliance. | | |
| | Note: Council may require forward egress where local conditions such as average vehicle speed, sight lines and traffic volume cause a safety concern | | |
| | j An adaptable parking space is to be provided for any adaptable unit | | |
| | 2.2.8.2 Access Design | Yes | The pro |
| | OBJECTIVES | | relevar |
| | To position street vehicular crossings and driveways to minimise adverse visual impact | | Specifi |
| | To use existing rear lanes for vehicular access where appropriate | | |
| | To ensure safe entry and exit from the site | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | |
| | 2.2.8.2.1 General Requirements | | |
| | a Driveways and vehicular access shall be designed in accordance with relevant Australian Standard and provisions of Council's Civil Works Specification | | |
| | b Use of plain concrete for driveways and open car parking areas is not supported by Council. Details of the proposed treatment shall be provided in the | | |
| | development application. | | |
| | c Where appropriate, parking may be accessed from a laneway however no reliance can be given to a laneway for the purposes primary pedestrian access, | | |
| | waste collection and mail collection. | | |
| | 2.2.9.2.2.Cround Level Darking | Vac | The pr |
| | 2.2.0.2.2 Ground Level Parking | Tes | hoth st |
| | a Fully enclosed galages must not visually dominate any building elevation. | | drivow |
| | c A minimum payement width of 2m is required | | screen |
| | d Driveways shall be offset from any side boundary by 2 metres at the front boundary and may taken back to E00mm side sotback within the front building | | scieelli |
| | line as illustrated in Figure 1. This offset area, and side setback for the length of the remaining driveway must be landscaped with troos and shrubs to soften | | |
| | the bardstand areas and provide for infiltration and provide visual appeal to the streetscape | | |
| | The hardstand areas and provide for initiation and provide visual appear to the streetscape. | | |

ent

roposed driveway arrangement generally complies with ant Australian Standard and provisions of Council's Civil Works fication.

roposed garage to each unit has been positioned away from street frontages to minimise their visual impact. The proposed way is setback by from the site boundary by more than 2m and ned by deep soil plantings.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comme |
|---|--|------------|-------------------------------|
| | Fight a trivery Offset enters is available. Farking or access which is visible from any street or laneway elevation must not visually dominate the street and must respect the architectural qualities of the building and integrate with the overall presentation of the development. | | |
| 2.2.9 EARTHWORKS AND STRUCTURAL SUPPORT | OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVE OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVE OBJECTIVE OBJECTIVE OBJECTIVE OBJECTIVE OBJECTI | Yes N/A | The site require DCP co |
| | i not have an embankment slope greater than that required by the BCA for its soil type ii generally not extend by more than 3m from the dwelling or have the toe of the embankment or batter within 1m from a side or rear boundary | | |

ent

no basement parking is proposed.

e has a relatively flat topography and minimal earthworks are ed to achieve a level building footprint, in compliance with ontrols.

ninimal earthworks are proposed.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comme |
|--------------------|---|------------|----------|
| 2.2.10 LANDSCAPING | OBJECTIVES | Yes | Landsc |
| | To improve habitat for native indigenous flora and fauna | | landsca |
| | To provide for softening of building forms and enhancement of the urban environment | | |
| | To assist in the reduction of stormwater runoff from a site | | The pro |
| | To improve urban air quality | | for unit |
| | To relate landscape design and fencing to the desired proportions and character of the streetscape | | incorpo |
| | To retain existing significant native vegetation on site | | high lev |
| | To improve the amenity of open space areas | | |
| | To contribute to streetscape character and the amenity of the locality | | |
| | To design landscape which contributes to the site's particular and positive characteristics, | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | |
| | 2.2.10.1 Landscape Design - General | | |
| | a A suitably gualified landscape professional is to be engaged to undertake the design and construction of landscaping for the development is required. The | | |
| | landscape design is to demonstrate consideration of the following: | | |
| | i provision of appropriate shade from trees or structures: | | |
| | ii provision of accessible routes within the site and between buildings: | | |
| | iii screening of car parking communal drving areas, swimming pools and courtyards on ground level. | | |
| | iv the use planting, fencing and other landscape elements appropriate to the scale of the development: | | |
| | v the visually softening the bulk of large development for the person on the street. | | |
| | vi the incorporation of suitable deep soil zones: | | |
| | vii the visually softening hardstand areas associated with car narking including naving design / unit naving and shade tree planting | | |
| | viii the incornoration of native trees, shruhs and ground covers endemic to the area: | | |
| | ix the retention and incorporation of changes of level visual markers, views and any significant site elements: | | |
| | v the retention of existing vegetation on site | | |
| | | | |
| | 2 2 10 2 Street Trees | Ves | The nr |
| | a All development shall incorporate street tree plantings at a rate of at least two semi-advanced trees per 15 metres of frontage. Details of the proposed | 103 | with th |
| | a An development shall incorporate street tree plantings at a rate of at least two semi-advanced trees per 15 metres of nontage. Details of the proposed | | with th |
| | troos are to be maintained and nurtured until established | | |
| | h A street tree planting plan shall be included as part of the landscape design report | | |
| | | | |
| | 2 2 10 3 Deen Soil | Ves | The nr |
| | a A minimum 50% of the required soft landscaped area of the site at ground level shall be a deep soil zone. This may be achieved by ontimising the retention | 103 | in eyce |
| | are minimum solved the required solve intesting of the site of the site of ground level shall be a deep solved in the required by optimising the retention | | |
| | i the design of any basement and sub-basement car parking, so as not to fully cover the site: | | |
| | ii the use of setbacks for deen soil planting. Planting should be selected and located to minimise negative impacts on adjoining properties | | |
| | In the use of setbacks for deep son planting. Planting should be selected and located to minimise negative impacts on adjoining properties. | | |
| | 2 2 10 4 Fencing | Variation | Due to |
| | OBJECTIVES | sought | 1 8m h |
| | • To ensure fencing meets the requirements of residents in terms of privacy and security, as well as contributing positively to the streetscape | Jought | order t |
| | • To carefully select fencing to integrate with the overall development and to ensure that a site is not separated from its surrounds by high front walls | | remain |
| | | | complia |
| | a Details of the material beight type and extent of all proposed fencing shall be shown on the development application plans. Design considerations shall | | visual i |
| | include: | | VISUALI |
| | include. | | |
| | i boight. | | |
| | iii location from site boundary | | |
| | in location non-site boundary. | | |
| | I vising planting to soften the address of any reised terrores to the street such as over subhasement for parks, and reduce their apparent scale | | |
| | b Dividing forming to soften the edges of any raised terraces to the street, such as over subbasement car parks, and reduce their apparent scale. | | |
| | b Dividing rending shall not adversely affect now of surface water of create flooding problems to adjoining properties. | | |
| | c Decorative rencing of maximum 1.2 metres neight is permitted along the front boundary | | |
| | a where a courtyard is proposed, the enclosing fence shall be of a decorative nature and 1.8 metres in height. Where a courtyard in the front setback area is | | |
| | considered acceptable the fence shall be erected no closer than a minimum of 1.5 metres from the front boundary alignment and this 1.5 metre setback shall | | |
| | be properly landscaped. Fences staggered with planting over the 1.5 metre setback may also be considered. | | |

ent

aping documentation prepared by a suitably qualified ape consultant is provided at **Appendix 3** of the SEE.

oposed scheme achieves a high level of privacy and amenity ts and an attractive streetscape outcome. The scheme orates a low maintenance landscape design which achieves a vel of functionality.

oposal includes street tree plantings generally in compliance nis control.

oposal includes 32% deep soil landscaping across the site, well ess of requirements (12.5%).

the provision of POS within the front setback of each unit, high fencing is proposed around private open space areas in to provide adequate levels of privacy. Importantly, the hing fencing along both street frontages is 1.5m in height in ance with controls. The variation in fencing heights provides interest and identity to each unit/

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comme |
|-----------------|---|------------|------------|
| | e Fencing should not detract from the streetscape or character of the area. Plain colourbond and /or timber paling fences are unacceptable in this regard. A | | |
| | combination of materials and articulation of the fence plane is required in order to achieve better presentation to the public domain, as illustrated above. | | |
| | f The cost of upgrading common boundary fences rests with the developer. | | |
| | g No structures or landscaping exceeding 1 metre in height are to be located within the triangle formed by a sight line 12 metres x 6 metres from the | | |
| | intersection of the two street boundary lines. Any existing or proposed tree in this area is to be maintained with a clean trunk under a height of 2.0 metres. | | |
| 2.2.11 BUILDING | OBJECTIVE | Yes | The site |
| SERVICES | To ensure that all development sites have adequate services to cater for future occupants | | electrici |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | |
| | 2.2.11.1 Services - General | | |
| | a All sites shall be provided with adequate water and sewer services, as well as telecommunications and power. | | |
| | b All applications shall provide details of the proposed method of sewerage disposal from the site. For all forms of residential development the preferred | | |
| | method is gravity-fed connection to the reticulated sewer system. | | |
| | c All applications shall provide details of potential impacts on existing services, for example nearby drainage, water or sewer lines. | | |
| | d All external attachments should be fully integrated with the façade design e.g.: stormwater downpipes, meter boxes and other services. | | |
| | e Site services and facilities (such as letterboxes and drying yards) should be designed: | | |
| | i to enable safe and convenient access by residents; | | |
| | II In an aesthetically sensitive way; | | |
| | III to have regard to the amenity of adjoining developments and streetscape; | | |
| | iv to require minimal maintenance; and | | |
| | v to be visually integrated with the development. | | |
| | vi be accessible for postal deliveries | | |
| | | | |
| | 2.2.11.2 Civil Works | Yes | The pro |
| | a To preserve and enhance the existing high quality landscape of street frontages, the construction of kerb and guttering, associated street drainage, | | and gutt |
| | pavement construction and foot paving across the street frontages is a standard requirement for development on the Central Coast, where these do not | | site's fro |
| | currently exist. The only exceptions to this requirement are where, in Council's opinion: | | |
| | it is technically impractical to construct kerb and guttering due to uncertainty as to the appropriate levels to be adopted or an isolated section will present a | | |
| | nazard to road traffic safety; or | | |
| | If the street drainage necessary to provide kerb and guttering is an unreasonable impost on the development; or | | |
| | iii kerb and guttering is not the most suitable streetscape treatment for the area on the basis of existing and anticipated development. | | |
| | b in the event that the development is determined to be within the above categories of exception, an alternative treatment to kerb and gutter such as | | |
| | mountable kerb, concrete dish drain, cemented paving stones or other treatment will be required with the exact type based upon the characteristics of the | | |
| | site. | | |
| | 2.2.11.2 Stormwater Management | Voc | The Civil |
| | | Tes | and 4a |
| | • To ansure that land can be adequately drained for the health and convenience of residents, and that the development does not contribute to drainage or | | |
| | flooding problems elsewhere | | |
| | | | |
| | a All proposed development is to comply with Council's Civil Works Specification b A stormwater management plan is to be submitted with the development | | |
| | a All proposed development is to comply with council's Civil works specification bia stormwater management plants to be submitted with the development | | |
| | i the provision of on-site stormwater detention with delayed release into the stormwater system; or | | |
| | is site design to minimise impervious areas and maximise on-site infiltration so increased run-off does not reach the stormwater system; or | | |
| | iii a combination of both. Due consideration will be given to the location of the development and the impacts a detention system will have on the catchment | | |
| | drainage | | |
| | c Site works are not to obstruct or divert overland flows from unstream properties | | |
| | d All excess stormwater runoff from roof and naved areas shall be directed via gravity fed systems into inter-allotment or street stormwater drainage system | | |
| | Charged systems will not be accented | | |
| | e Where essements over downstream properties are required, evidence of agreement with the relevant property owners is to be submitted with the | | |
| | development application | | |
| | | | |
| | 2.2.11.4 Garbage and Waste Services | Yes | The pro |
| | OBJECTIVES | | general |
| | • To avoid the generation of waste through design, material selection and building practices | | |
| | • To plan for the types, amount and disposal of waste to be generated during demolition, excavation and construction of development | | |
| L | | 1 | I |

nt

has access to a full suite of services, including water, sewer, ity and telecommunications.

posal involves the extension of the existing footpath, kerb tering along Springwood Street along the full length of the ontage to Britannia Street.

il Plans and Water Cycle Management Plan at **Appendices 4** respectively, demonstrate compliance with DCP controls.

posed waste management system has been designed ly in accordance with Council's Waste Control Guidelines

| + To encourage waste minimisation, including material separation, reuse and recycling • To ensure efficient storage and collection of waste and quality design of facilities REQUIREMENTS a All proposed development is to comply with Chapter 2.14: Site Waste Management. b Waste management systems for residential development are to be provided in accordance with Council's Waste Control Guidelines. Details of waste recycling arrangements must also be included in the Waste Management Plan. c Where it is proposed that bins are to be located in a common area, developments are to include the design and construction of a suitably screened bin storage area that integrates with the overall development and landscape plan. Where bins need to be wheeled or conveyed from the storage point to the collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING SI.13.3.1 General Requirements OBLECTIVE • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. | As show storage frontag The DCI dwelling The pro in comp |
|--|--|
| of facilities REQUIREMENTS a All proposed development is to comply with Chapter 2.14: Site Waste Management. b Waste management systems for residential development are to be provided in accordance with Council's Waste Control Guidelines. Details of waste recycling arrangements must also be included in the Waste Management Plan. c Where it is proposed that bins are to be located in a common area, developments are to include the design and construction of a suitably screened bin storage area that integrates with the overall development and landscape plan. Where bins need to be wheeled or conveyed from the storage point to the collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING 0 F CAR PARKING SPACES • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a Their number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces for a development does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dewelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up | storage frontag The DCI dwelling The pro in comp |
| REQUIREMENTS a All proposed development is to comply with Chapter 2.14: Site Waste Management. b Waste management systems for residential development are to be provided in accordance with Council's Waste Control Guidelines. Details of waste recycling arrangements must also be included in the Waste Management Plan. c Where it is proposed that bins are to be located in a common area, developments are to include the design and construction of a suitably screened bin storage area that integrates with the overall development and landscape plan. Where bins need to be wheeled or conveyed from the storage point to the collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING 2.13.3 CALCULATION OF CAR PARKING SPACES * To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces | The DCI dwelling The pro in comp |
| a All proposed development is to comply with Chapter 2.14: Site Waste Management. b Waste management systems for residential development are to be provided in accordance with Council's Waste Control Guidelines. Details of waste recycling arrangements must also be included in the Waste Management Plan. c Where it is proposed that bins are to be located in a common area, developments are to include the design and construction of a suitably screened bin storage area that integrates with the overall development and landscape plan. Where bins need to be wheeled or conveyed from the storage point to the collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING OBJECTIVE * To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. < | The DCI dwellin The pro in comp |
| b Waste management systems for residential development are to be provided in accordance with Council's Waste Control Guidelines. Details of waste recycling arrangements must also be included in the Waste Management Plan. c Where it is proposed that bins are to be located in a common area, developments are to include the design and construction of a suitably screened bin storage area that integrates with the overall development and landscape plan. Where bins need to be wheeled or conveyed from the storage point to the collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING 2.13.3 CALCULATION 2.13.3.1 General Requirements OF CAR PARKING SPACES • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number. | The DCI dwelling The pro in comp |
| recycling arrangements must also be included in the Waste Management Plan. c Where it is proposed that bins are to be located in a common area, developments are to include the design and construction of a suitably screened bin storage area that integrates with the overall development and landscape plan. Where bins need to be wheeled or conveyed from the storage point to the collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING 2.13.3.1 General Requirements OF CAR PARKING 2.13.3.1 General Requirements OF CAR PARKING 0BJECTIVE • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a Then umber of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | The DCl dwelling The pro in comp |
| c Where it is proposed that bins are to be located in a common area, developments are to include the design and construction of a suitably screened bin storage area that integrates with the overall development and landscape plan. Where bins need to be wheeled or conveyed from the storage point to the collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING 2.13.3.1 General Requirements Yes 0BJECTIVE 0.13.3.1 General Requirements Yes OF CAR PARKING 0BJECTIVE Yes SPACES • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | The DCl dwelling The pro in comp |
| storage area that integrates with the overall development and landscape plan. Where bins need to be wheeled or conveyed from the storage point to the collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING 0F CAR PARKING SPACES 0.3.1 General Requirements 0.3.2 CLULATION 0.6 CAR PARKING SPACES 0.7 Do ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | The DCl dwelling The pro in comp |
| collection point, consideration should be given to the slope and its impact on manual handling or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING | The DCl dwellin The pro in comp |
| or motorised handling requirements. d Pedestrian and traffic safety must be considered in the design of the storage and collection points for bins. CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT ANDD PARKING CHAPTER 2.13 2.13.3 CALCULATION OF CAR PARKING 2.13.3.1 General Requirements OBJECTIVE • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | The DCl dwellin The pro in comp |
| CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING 2.13.3.1 General Requirements OF CAR PARKING OBJECTIVE SPACES • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. Ves c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | The DCl dwellin The pro in comp |
| CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING CHAPTER 2.13 TRANSPORT AND PARKING PARKING 2.13.3 CALCULATION OF CAR PARKING SPACES 2.13.3.1 General Requirements OBJECTIVE Comparing the second of t | The DCl dwellin; The pro in comp |
| TRANSPORT AND PARKING 2.13.3 CALCULATION 2.13.3.1 General Requirements OF CAR PARKING OBJECTIVE SPACES • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling | The DCl dwellin The pro in comp |
| PARKING Yes 2.13.3 CALCULATION OF CAR PARKING SPACES 2.13.3.1 General Requirements OBJECTIVE Yes • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | The DCl dwellin The pro in comp |
| 2.13.3 CALCULATION 2.13.3.1 General Requirements Yes OF CAR PARKING OBJECTIVE SPACES • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling | The DC dwellin The prc in comp |
| OF CAR PARKING OBJECTIVE SPACES • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling | dwellin The prc in comp |
| SPACES • To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided for new development REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling | The prc in comp |
| REQUIREMENTS a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling | The prc in comp |
| a The number of car parking spaces for a development is to be determined from Table 1. Where a variation to the number of spaces required in Table 1 is proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | in com |
| proposed, a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is to be provided (refer Appendix B). The reasons and justification for any variation are to be included in the TMP for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | |
| for Council's consideration. b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | |
| b Where the number of parking spaces required by this chapter does not equal a whole number, the number of spaces is to be rounded up to the nearest whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | |
| whole number. c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | |
| c Multi Dwelling i spaces per dwelling 1.5 spaces rounded up to the next whole number | |
| | |
| Housing | |
| ii Visitor spaces 0.2 spaces per dwelling rounded up to the next | |
| whole number | |
| 2.13.3.3 Dimensions of Parking Spaces Yes | The pro |
| OBJECTIVE | out in a |
| To provide for parking spaces and aisles in a development that is adequate and has appropriate dimensions for safe and efficient operation of the carpark | 2980. |
| REQUIREMENTS | |
| a The dimensional requirements for on-site car parking spaces and driveways giving access to parking spaces shall generally be set out in accordance with the | |
| Australian Standard – AS 2890.1 and 2980.6 as amended as a minimum except where the requirements are specifically defined in this plan. | |
| b For all residential dwellings and units a garage or enclosed carport, where required, is to have clear internal dimensions of a minimum of 3.0 metres x 5.4 | |
| metres (excluding support columns located away from car door access points). | |
| 2.13.3.4 Stacked Parking - | N/A – n |
| | |
| 2.13.3.5 Delivery / Service Vehicles and Emergency Vehicles Yes | The pro |
| OBJECTIVE | / service |
| To provide for the safe and efficient operation of delivery/service vehicles and emergency vehicles | provide |
| REQUIREMENTS | |
| a Requirements for delivery/service vehicles and other vehicles unless identified in this chapter, are to be based generally on the Roads and Traffic Authority | |
| "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments", as amended, and the Australian Standards relating to the specific needs of each development. Regard | |
| needs to be given to the type and scale of the development. Some details are provided in Table 1; however, a statement is required with the application | |
| establishing the needs of the particular development. | |
| b Manoeuvring and reversing areas for delivery/service vehicles are not to conflict with general parking and pedestrian requirements. | |
| c Provision should also be made for appropriate access for emergency vehicles. | <u> </u> |
| 2.13.3.6 Bus and Coach Parking | N/A – n |
| 2.13.3.7 Parking and access for the disabled - | N/A – n |
| | |
| - | N/A - th |
| OBJECTIVE | bike par |
| Provide sate access and adequate facilities for cyclists. | garage |
| KEQUIKEMENTS | |

DCP Compliance Table – 109 & 113 - 115 Springwood Street Ettalong and 1A Britannia Street Umina Beach

ent

wn on the Architectural plans at **Appendix 2**, the waste e area for each unit is suitably screened from both street ges whilst also enabling convenient access to the street.

P requires 1.5 car spaces per dwelling, and 0.2 spaces per by for visitors.

oposal provides two car spaces per unit and one visitor space, pliance with DCP controls.

oposed car parking and access arrangement is generally set accordance with the Australian Standard – AS 2890.1 and

no stacked parking is proposed.

oposed development does not generate the need for delivery ce vehicle parking. The proposed driveway arrangement es appropriate access for emergency vehicles

no bus or coach parking is proposed or required.

no accessible parking is proposed or required.

he proposal does not generate demand for long or short stay arking. Notwithstanding, there is adequate space within each for the storage of bicycles.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | | Compliance | Commo |
|-------------|---|---|------------|--------------------|
| | a Consideration is to be given to the type of parking f users and their length of stay as summarised in Table | facility to be provided, security arrangements, access and ease of use, having regard to the anticipated 2. | | |
| | b Bicycle parking facilities can be classified into three These are: | categories (as per Austroads Part 14, Bicycles) according to level of security required for each user. | | |
| | Class 1 1. All Day parking reasonably secur enclosed space. | is for employees and residents. Locked enclosures are re if they are provided in a basement carpark or a similar Details of these facilities are to be provided with the | | |
| | Class 2 development ap | plication. | | |
| | 2. All-day or part o stations. Locked suited to employ using duplicate to to be provided v | of day parking is for regular employees and commuters at compounds require management of their use and are most yees or regular clients. Users would have communal access keys or electronic swipe cards. Details of these facilities are with the development application. | | |
| | Class 3 3. Short term parki racks, lockers or on a short visit to accessories. | ng for visitors to shopping centres, offices, shops. Use bike a locked enclosure. Racks are more suitable for customers o the development, they do not prevent vandalism/theft of | | |
| | c The number of bicycle parking facilities required ha Short Stay Visitor / Shopper and Long Stay Employee d Bicycle parking areas should be provided where par e AS 2890.13:2015 Parking Facilities suggests a 10% r with bicycle parking facilities Class 1 and Class 2 - sho employee cycle trips. For these locations the followin i. One shower for the first five bike spaces plus an ad ii. One change room for every shower where two or r Note: Refer to Austroads Guide to Traffic Manageme requirements for bicycle parking and end-of-trip facil 2.13.3.8.1 Bicycle Parking Rates – S | / Resident. These parking rates are provided, where applicable, for each land use in Tables 2 and 3. ssive surveillance will frequently occur providing a reasonable level of security. mode share is a reasonable starting point to accommodate cyclist trips in urban environments. Usually overs and clothes lockers are provided at developments that could generate a significant number of ng provision is suggested: ditional shower for each additional 10 bike spaces. more changes are provided then separate male and female facilities. Short Term | | |
| | Land Use | Bicycles – Short Stay | | |
| | a Multi Dwelling housing and Residential Flat Buildings | 1 space per 12 dwellings | | |
| | 2.13.3.8.2 Bicycle Parking Rates – I | Long Term | | |
| | Land Use | Bicycles - Long Stay | | |
| | a Multi Dwelling housing and Residential Flat Buildings | 1 space per 5 dwellings | | |
| | 2.13.3.9 Motorcycles and motor scooters | | - | N/A – t parking |
| | 2.13.3.10 Visitor Parking OBJECTIVE To provide for safe access and parking for visitors REQUIREMENTS a Visitor parking is to be provided in accordance with | Table 1 as required. Parking for visitors (general public) is to be accessible at all times and external to | Yes | The pro accorda |
| | any security arrangements. | | | |

ent

the proposal does not generate demand for motorbike g.

oposal provides one visitor parking space generally in lance with DCP requirements.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | b To achieve an acceptable level of amenity and a satisfactory relationship between adjoining land uses, the location of the parking area(s) within the site | | | | | | |
| | shall have regard to: | | | | | | |
| | i. Site conditions such as slope and drainage | | | | | | |
| | ii. The relationship of the building to the parking area | | | | | | |
| | iii. The proximity of the parking area to any neighbouring residential areas | | | | | | |
| | 2.13.3.11 Dual and Complementary Use of Facilities | - | N/A – tł | | | | |
| | | | involves | | | | |
| | 2.13.3.12 Change of Use or Additions to Existing Development | - | N/A – tł | | | | |
| | | | existing | | | | |
| | 2.13.3.13 Special events or Regular Casual Uses | - | N/A – tł | | | | |
| | | | not be a | | | | |
| | 2 13 3 14 Contributions to Satisfy Car parking Requirements | - | N/A - th | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

ent

the proposal does not involve a parking shortfall and only es residential land use.

the proposal does not involve a change of use or addition to g development.

the proposal does not relate to special events and the site will accessible to the general public.

he proposal does not involve a parking shortfall.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 2.13.4 DEVELOPMENT | 2.13.4.2 Details required in parking design | Yes | The pr | | | | |
| PROVISIONS – ACCESS | 5 OBJECTIVE | | | | | | |
| DESIGN | To ensure that parking designs for a development include adequate information for the assessment of the parking requirements of that development. REQUIREMENTS | | lt is no | | | | |
| | Car parking designs, to scale and dimensioned, should include: | | relate | | | | |
| | a property boundaries; | | | | | | |
| | b parking space dimensions and any pillar encroachment details; | | | | | | |
| | c turning paths for the different design vehicles, with appropriate clearances. Vehicles may require an envelope greater than specified in AS 2890 due to | | | | | | |
| | drivers with potentially impaired sight/judgement; | | | | | | |
| | d aisle width; | | | | | | |
| | e disabled spaces; | | | | | | |
| | f vehicle circulation; | | | | | | |
| | g clear and obvious circulation patterns; | | | | | | |
| | h clarity at intersections: | | | | | | |
| | i. no intersection legs are to be less than 70 degrees or greater than 110 degrees; and | | | | | | |
| | II. no "Dead Areas" are permitted; | | | | | | |
| | i access into major carparks are to provide long aisles prior to the provision of parking spaces so not to stop vehicle flow due to manoeuvring backing up into | | | | | | |
| | the access/intersections; | | | | | | |
| |) traffic and speed control devices; | | | | | | |
| | k pedestrian requirements, including safe pedestrian movement tinough the carpark to the entry of the development, | | | | | | |
| | areas to be in forward direction only: | | | | | | |
| | m bicycle requirements: | | | | | | |
| | n signage, payement marking and raised payement markers: | | | | | | |
| | o gradients and cross falls. | | | | | | |
| | n sight distance availability and compliance with AS 2890.1 as a minimum. Increased reaction time or other user characteristics or site constraints may | | | | | | |
| | warrant increased requirements: | | | | | | |
| | g provision of shade trees and landscaped areas (including irrigation systems): | | | | | | |
| | r shopping trolley bays where appropriate: | | | | | | |
| | s acoustic treatments; | | | | | | |
| | t headlight barriers; | | | | | | |
| | u pavement types; | | | | | | |
| | v lighting – where applicable. Lighting design should consider the mature height of all proposed tree planting and comply with the relevant standards for overspill lighting to adjoining properties; | | | | | | |
| | w access to parking areas; | | | | | | |
| | x queuing areas and grade; | | | | | | |
| | y security; | | | | | | |
| | z column locations; | | | | | | |
| | aa vertical clearance – see requirements for disabled parking spaces; | | | | | | |
| | bb vehicle overhangs/ wheel stop requirements. Eliminate damage to landscaping and interference with pedestrian routes; | | | | | | |
| | cc ramps – gradients, widths and alignments. Two-way curved ramps are not supported; | | | | | | |
| | dd locations of nearby intersections, traffic facilities and/or accesses that adjoin or are close to the proposed carpark. | | | | | | |
| | 2.13.4.3 Access design | Yes | The pr | | | | |
| | | | AS2890 | | | | |
| | To provide for safe and efficient access to the development and operation of the carpark through adequate design, gradient and dimensions of accesses and | | | | | | |
| | access intersections. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | a The location of access points to developments and their car parking is critical and needs to be carefully selected based on a number of criteria. The design | | | | | | |
| | or accesses to on-street parking areas, queuing areas, etc. shall comply with AS 2890.1, the KTA's (KIVIS) "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" | | | | | | |
| | (October 2002 and as amended), Austroads Guide to Road Design and Council's CIVII WORKS Specification (as amended) | | | | | | |
| | Specification (as amenucu). | | | | | | |
| | development access/es are to be designed accordingly | | | | | | |
| | c Sight distances at driveway exits are to be in accordance with Δ S2890 1 | | | | | | |
| | Congrit distances at driveway exits are to be in accordance with A52650.1. | 1 | | | | | |

ent

roposed resident and visitor parking spaces are shown on the and generally comply with DCP requirements.

oted that the majority of requirements in this section appear to to larger car parking proposals.

roposed driveway arrangement generally complies with 90.1.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comme | |
|-------------|---|------------|----------|--|
| | d Where a range of widths are shown for accesses, the maximum width is to be used. This may differ depending on turning requirements of the design | | | |
| | vehicle. | | | |
| | e Parking areas with boom gates or locked gates operated by key pads or similar should have a queuing length sufficient to accommodate the peak demand | | | |
| | without adversely affecting traffic or pedestrian movements on the frontage road, with a minimum queue length of two vehicles (12 metres) and not | | | |
| | exceeding 10%. The grade at the key pad or similar (control point) is not to exceed 5%. A Traffic | | | |
| | nipact study should determine the length of the queuing area by consideration of the tranic volume in the nontage road, the capacity and design of the parking area. Jikely peak flow and access time at entry/exit gates | | | |
| | f For smaller parking areas with gated entries. AS 2890.1 is to be used to provide guidance on the queuing length for such facilities, which should allow for a | | | |
| | minimum of two cars (as specified above) | | | |
| | g The gradient of the access driveway should be in accordance with AS 2890.1. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 2.13.4.4 Manoeuvring | Yes | Refer t | |
| | OBJECTIVE | | SEE. | |
| | To ensure that parking areas and access ways are designed for safe and efficient manoeuvring of vehicles; and, | | | |
| | To limit the number of manoeuvres when entering and exiting a development, | | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | | |
| | a All developments shall be designed so that entry and exit from parking areas to a public road is in a forward direction excepting: | | | |
| | i. Single dwelling houses; and ii. Dual accurancies (side by side) located on local reads | | | |
| | II. Dual occupaticles (side by side) located official roads | | | |
| | concern | | | |
| | b For developments where car parking is proposed along or at the end of a common driveway, or access handle/right of way, an adequate manoeuvring area | | | |
| | must also be provided on-site so that the vehicles of residents can enter and leave the site in a forward manner using no more than a 3 point turn. | | | |
| | c Particular attention is to be given to circulation within the parking area and to the facilities required to ensure that a safe and efficient circulation pattern is | | | |
| | achieved. Right of way at intersections is to be clearly defined. There should be no accesses or intersections with adjoining roads with angles less than 70 | | | |
| | degrees or greater than 110 degrees. | | | |
| | d Turning areas are to be designed in accordance with AS 2890 (section 2.4). Generally, the maximum widths identified for accesses are to be used (sections | | | |
| | 2.5 and 3.2). Potentially impaired eyesight/judgement or other user characteristics may warrant increased requirements, particularly with developments for | | | |
| | seniors. | | | |
| | 2.13.4.5 Delivery and service vehicle requirements | - | N/A - tl | |
| | 2.12.4.6 Materials | Voc | deliver | |
| | | res | conside | |
| | To ensure that the materials and construction of parking areas (including loading areas) and accesses are adequate for the intended loading and operational | | Conside | |
| | conditions of the carpark | | | |
| | Note: Refer to Council's Civil Works Specification for further details regarding payements, construction and material requirements. | | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | | |
| | a For any parking areas and accesses the materials of construction are to have regard for the applicable intended loadings (including increased loadings for | | | |
| | tight turns), pavement design life and surface and sub-surface drainage. A non-slip finish is to be provided. Decorative treatments are to blend with the | | | |
| | surrounding development and landscaping but they should not mask the pavement markings. The kerb types in parking areas are to be low enough to permit | | | |
| | vehicle overhang where designed, but provide approved wheel stops. Concept details are to be provided with a development application. | | | |
| | b The surfaces are to be either concrete or sealed in accordance with Council's Civil Works Specification. For minor parking areas in rural areas, Council may | | | |
| | not require sealing of a carpark provided it is stable, dust free, does not present a slip hazard and is suitable for all weather. | | | |
| | c Car park pavements should be designed by a geotechnical or structural engineer taking into account soil conditions, soil permeability (in the case of porous | | | |
| | pavements) and reactivity. Details of proposed finishes and construction details (including the results of geotechnical investigations and a pavement design | | | |
| | report) are to be incorporated in the Construction Certificate. | | | |
| | 2.13.4.7 Signage and pavement marking | Yes | Signage | |
| | UBJECTIVE To ansure that the signage and payement marking for parking areas comply with DMS "Signs Database" and "Delineation" | | will be | |
| | RECHIREMENTS | | | |
| | a Parking areas shall be delineated with annroved standard signs and navement markings as a minimum. These are to comply with PMS Traffic Signs | | | |
| | Database and Delineation Manual. Additional signage and navement marking may be required to convey information relating to the facility to carpark users | | | |
| | Raised pavement markers may be required to reinforce the line marking. | | | |
| | b Visitor parking spaces, spaces for the disabled and spaces for mothers with prams are to be clearly delineated with pavement marking and signage. | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 | | |

ent

to detailed swept path diagrams provided at Appendix 4 of the

the proposed development does not generate the need for ry / service vehicle parking or access. ruction materials for the proposed visitor car parking will be

ruction materials for the proposed visitor car parking will b lered during the detailed design stage.

ge and pavement marking for the proposed visitor car parking e considered during the detailed design stage.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2.13.4.8 Pedestrian facilities | | | | | | |
| | 2.12.4.0 Shanning trallous | | Includes | | | | |
| | 2.13.4.9 Shopping trolleys | - | The pro | | | | |
| | OBJECTIVE | 103 | western | | | | |
| | • To ensure that developers consider landscape design in association with proposed parking areas as early as possible to reduce their visual impact; and, | | | | | | |
| | • To encourage adequate landscaping in parking areas to provide shade cover for 50% of the carpark in the long term. | | It is not | | | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | relate to | | | | |
| | a Parking areas are to be landscaped appropriately, while providing adequate vision for all users. Landscaping is to be maintained so that site lines are not impeded. | | | | | | |
| | b Landscaping is required along accesses and carparks where they adjoin private property. | | | | | | |
| | c In large car parking areas, such as those in shopping centres, the provision of shade trees, with appropriate species selection, is mandatory. An adequate | | | | | | |
| | provision would be one shade tree per four parking spaces and the minimum provision should be one tree per six spaces. The aim of the landscape plan | | | | | | |
| | should be to provide shade cover for a minimum of 50% of the paved area of the carpark after 15 years of suitable growing conditions. | | | | | | |
| | overbangs of footnaths. It should be noted that radiated heat from vehicle engines causes significant damage to landscaping. Adequate setbacks are required | | | | | | |
| | to provide separation of landscaping from radiated heat. | | | | | | |
| | e All clearances to vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists, etc. are to be from the mature size of the proposed landscaping. Should an appropriate maintenance plan be | | | | | | |
| | provided such clearances may be reduced to provide for landscaping pruning. | | | | | | |
| | f Any lighting is to be designed to allow for the mature height of the landscaping. | | | | | | |
| | g Plants used for landscaping carpark areas should, wherever possible, be: | | | | | | |
| | i. Native to the region | | | | | | |
| | ii. Suitable for the eco-climate produced within the carpark | | | | | | |
| | III. Able to provide foliage at the appropriate height / spread to avoid creating sight distance in security problems and be able to provide shade to vehicles | | | | | | |
| | and pavement areas | | | | | | |
| | Note: A list of plants suitable for landscaping in carpark areas is included in Appendix C of this Chapter | | | | | | |
| | 2.13.4.11 Stormwater and water sensitive urban design | Yes | The Civi | | | | |
| | OBJECTIVES | | and 4a, | | | | |
| | • To ensure that stormwater systems for parking areas are designed to minimise the downstream impacts of stormwater and to control the water quality; | | | | | | |
| | and, | | | | | | |
| | • To ensure that carparks and their drainage systems are designed to minimise water nuisance such as flows across pedestrian routes or ponding along them. | | | | | | |
| | REQUIREMENT | | | | | | |
| | Requirements for drainage and Water Sensitive Urban Design are specified in Council's Civil Works Specification | No. | The dee | | | | |
| | 2.13.4.12 Safer by design | Yes | the NSM | | | | |
| | Objective To ensure that car parking areas and pedestrian facilities are designed to reduce the opportunities for crime and anti-social activity | | through | | | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | linough | | | | |
| | a The design of carpark and pedestrian facilities is to have regard for the NSW Police Service "Safer By Design" Principles, which include: | | | | | | |
| | i. Opportunities for natural and or technical surveillance; | | | | | | |
| | ii. Appropriate access control; | | | | | | |
| | iii. Territorial reinforcement; | | | | | | |
| | iv. Appropriate space management. | | | | | | |
| CHAPTER 2.14 SITE | | | | | | | |
| WASTE | | | | | | | |
| 2 14 2 WASTE | 2.14.2.1 When is a Waste Management Plan Required? | Vec | A waste | | | | |
| MANAGEMENT | a A Waste Management Plan (WMP) is required to be lodged and approved for all development applications requiring consent including residential | Tes | Plans na | | | | |
| CONTROLS | industrial, commercial and accommodation proposals. | | | | | | |
| | Accurate, site specific details in relation to demolition/site preparation, construction, use of premises and on-going management as applicable are to be | | | | | | |
| | provided within the required WMP. Additional details may be requested subject to the complexity, scale and nature of a proposal. | | | | | | |
| | b Waste Control Guidelines have been prepared by Council to assist applicants with the preparation of WMPs (including standard forms). | | | | | | |
| | 2.14.2.2 Waste Control Guidelines | Variation | While th | | | | |
| | A WMP (written document/completed form) shall be prepared in accordance with the Waste Control Guidelines to show how the development handles and | sought | the leve | | | | |
| | minimises waste through submission of the following information: | | | | | | |

DCP Compliance Table – 109 & 113 - 115 Springwood Street Ettalong and 1A Britannia Street Umina Beach

ent

his control is not considered relevant to the proposal which s only one visitor parking space.

hopping trolley bays are not proposed or required.

posal incorporates suitable screening plantings along the n boundary adjoining the proposed visitor space.

ted that the majority of requirements in this section appear to o larger car parking proposals.

il Plans and Water Cycle Management Plan at **Appendices 4** respectively, demonstrate compliance with DCP controls.

sign of the proposed visitor parking space has had regard for N Police Service "Safer By Design" Principles, including n appropriate access control outside of daylight hours.

e management plan (WMP) is provided in the Architectural ackage at **Appendix 2** of the SEE.

the WMP at **Appendix 2** does not provide all required details, el of detail provided is considered appropriate given the

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | Compliance | Comme |
|--------------------|--|------------|-----------|
| | a Type and amount of waste/recyclable materials which will be generated; | | limited |
| | b How waste/recyclable materials will be stored and treated on site; | | nature |
| | c How disposal of waste/management or resale of recyclable materials will take place; | | |
| | d How ongoing waste management will be accommodated in the design of the building or use. | | |
| | The WMP is required to cover the following stages of a development: | | |
| | a Clearing; | | |
| | b Demolition; | | |
| | c Site preparation; | | |
| | d Construction; | | |
| | e Subdivision; | | |
| | f Long term operation/On-going use | | |
| | 2.14.2.3 Standard Forms | N/A | As abov |
| | a A Standard WMP form has been prepared to assist with the presentation of the required information. The WMP should be submitted on this form with any | | |
| | supporting material or additional information attached. | | |
| | b A copy of the WMP template is available for download from Council's website. Further information and/or details on the requirements for large | | |
| | developments can be obtained from Council's Waste Management Assessment Officer. | | |
| CHAPTER 2.17 | 2.17.1 MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION | Yes | The pro |
| CHARACTER AND | OBJECTIVES | | that is i |
| SCENIC QUALITY | Provide guidance in the assessment of development applications with regard to character and scenic value considerations | | unlikely |
| | Maintaining the character and scenic quality of an area while considering the desired and likely future character of the area | | quality |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | |
| | a Development applications are to demonstrate their consistency or compatibility where applicable with: | | Refer to |
| | i the Character and Scenic Quality Statements incorporated as Related Documents to this DCP. | | |
| | ii endorsed Council Masterplans included as Related Documents to this DCP. | | |
| | iii character considerations contained within the chapters of this DCP, relevant to the proposal. | | |
| | iv The relevant Planning Principles of the NSW Land and Environment Court. | | |
| | b Where not addressed in the considerations above, development applications are to consider the following character issues: | | |
| | i Whether the area is undergoing significant transition | | |
| | ii Whether existing character has or will be impacted by NSW State Government or Council policies that allow for development to occur without development | | |
| | consent | | |
| | iii Scenic prominence , distinctiveness, protection and enhancement | | |
| | iv Existing natural features, conservation and enhancement | | |
| | v Siting of buildings and surrounding gardens: consistency with predominant patterns across the surrounding neighbourhood, and | | |
| | vi Height, size and scale of buildings: compatibility with predominant patterns across the surrounding neighbourhood | | |
| | vii Architectural form, construction and detail: appropriateness to existing scenic quality and streetscape character | | |
| | viii Garden design, including outdoor structures: compatibility with scenic quality and streetscape character | | |
| | ix Street verges: conservation of visually-prominent landscape features plus effective integration with urban services. | | |
| CHAPTER 3.1 | | | |
| FLOODPLAIN | | | |
| MANAGEMENT/ | | | |
| WATER CYCLE | | | |
| MANAGEMENT | | | |
| PART C: SOUTHERN | | | |
| AREA WATERCYCLE | | | |
| MANAGEMENT | | | |
| (FORMER GOSFORD | | | |
| LGA) | | | |
| 3.1.10 WATER CYCLE | | Yes | The Civ |
| MANAGEMENT PLAN | | | and 4a |
| CHAPTER 3 5 TREE | 3 5 1 4 When do you Need a Permit From Council to Remove Vegetation? | - | |
| | Sections 2 and 3 of this Chanter identify when you need a permit from Council under Part 2.3 of State Environmental Planning Policy (Riodiversity and | | (Biodiv |
| MANAGEMENT | Conservation) 2021 to remove vegetation. The following flow chart is provided to assist understanding but is not a substitute for the provisions of Sections 2 | | clear ve |
| | and 3 | | conferr |
| | | | of the \ |
| 1 | | 1 | |

ent

l amount of demolition proposed, and having regard to the of the proposed development.

ve.

oposal is considered to provide a high quality visual outcome in keeping with the desired future character of the area. It is y to have a significant impact on the visual amenity or scenic of the site and area.

o Section 4.5.1 of the SEE for further discussion.

vil Plans and Water Cycle Management Plan at **Appendices 4** a, respectively, demonstrate compliance with DCP controls. lined in Section 4.3.1 of the SEE, Clause 2.6 of SEPP versity and Conservation) 2021 outlines that a person must not egetation in any non rural area without either an authority red by a permit granted by the relevant Council under Part 2.3 Vegetation SEPP, or where clearing exceeds the biodiversity

| DCP Section | DCP | Provision | | | | | | | | Compliance | Comm | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------|---|---|---|--|------------|----------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | offsets | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the Nat | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Under | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the Col | |
| CHAPTER 3.7 | 371 | 2 Geotechr | nical Requirements | | | | | | | Yes | The site | |
| GEOTECHNICAL | The r | ourpose of t | his Chapter is to pro | vide detailed guide | lines | for the subm | ission of Geotechni | ical Reports to supr | port Development Applications. | | landslin | |
| REQUIREMENTS FOR | OBJE | CTIVES | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEVELOPMENT | • To p | provide a m | anagement strategy | for development ir | n area | s identified a | as having landslip po | otential | | | The Do | |
| | To provide guidelines on the content of geotechnical reports submitted to Council | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | REQUIREMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.7.1.2.1 Landslip Hazard Assessment Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | a Wh | en assessin | g Development Appl | lications, Council wi | ill con | sider the slip | potential of a site | through the review | of any historic information held by Council or | | | |
| | wher | e potential | geotechnical issues | have been identifie | d by r | eference to | the following Matri | ces (Tables M1 & N | 12). Applicants are encouraged to contact | | | |
| | Council prior to lodging development applications regarding sites that it is reasonable to consider that there may be potential landslip issues. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | lowkochur | geotechnical apprevi | ations have been u | sed to | b describe th | e geological strata | | | | | |
| | • Rnn | Datonga Cl | avstone | | | | | | | | | |
| | • Os I | High level A | eolian sand | | | | | | | | | |
| | • Qd, | Qhd & Qhb | or Dune and Barrier S | Sands | | | | | | | | |
| | • Rnt | Terrigal For | mation | | | | | | | | | |
| | • Rnt | - s Terrigal | Formation – sandsto | one sequences | | | | | | | | |
| | Rnt | - m Terrigal | Formation – mudro | ock sequences | | | | | | | | |
| | • Qa / | Alluvium, sv | vamp and estuarine | deposits | | | | | | | | |
| | | CATEGORY | Category1 Low Hazard Area | Category 2 Medium Hazard Area | Γ | CATEGORY | Category 3 | Category 4 Immediate High Hazard | | | | |
| | | | significant lands ip hazard, | landslip hazard and possible | H | | Land areas susceptible to soil creep, landslip and | Area Land areas where there is evidence of active or past | | | | |
| | | CENERAL | major site changes occur. | steep soil covered slope. Instability may occur during | | | rockfalls due to steep slope profiles in stratified formations and provimity of | landslips, or areas where quarries, excavations/filing/ erosionhave created | | | | |
| | | DESCRIPTION | Often represented by low slope profiles in stratified rocks | and after extreme climatic conditions. | | | land to cliff areas and alluvial deposits. | potentially unstable slopes during climate extremes, or | | | | |
| | | DESCRIPTION | and nearly flat in alluvial deposits. | Represented by relatively | | GENERAL | Localised known areas of landslip and/or rockfalls may | instability, orrock face failures. | | | | |
| | | | | stratified rocks and low slope | | DESCRIPTION | occur within the area. Commonly seepage problems occur in the area. | Category also includes areas that are highly susceptible to | | | | |
| | | IMPLICATIONS | Good engineeringand conventional | Restrictions on nature and extent of development | | | | landslip, rockfalls, or excavation instability to steep slope and/or declosical | | | | |
| | | FOR DEVELOPMENT | PMENT building/development practices usually sufficient for safe development in these areas. Slopes between 0° and ≤ 18° in plateau areas. | [especially earthworks] may be required. Slopes.≳18° and ≤23°. In proximity [within 25 metres] of cliff lines. | | | Significantrestrictions on | formations which inherently give rise to instability. More the one breach against | | | | |
| | | _ | | | - | | | also usually present. Unsuitable for development | | | | |
| | | Ph | | | | IMPLICATIONS | nature and extent of development [especially earthworks and drainage] | unless localised areas can be re-rated to Category 3 or better. | | | | |
| | | 6800 | At least 25 metres from any prominent cliff line. | | FOR DEVELOPMENT | usually required. | Any development usually | | | | | |
| | | Bnt | Slopes between 0° and ≤ 12½°. | Slopes>12½° and ≤22° | 121⁄2" and ≤ 22" | | development in these areas are often higher than | restriction. | | | | |
| | | Rnt-s Sandstone | At least 100 metres from any me | In proximity [within 25 metres] of cliff lines. | Rh. | Slopes > 23° and ≤ 33° and in proximity [within 10 | Slopes>33*. Prominent cliff areas or | | | | | |
| | | Rot m | prominent cliff line. | Slopes ≥ 10° and ≤ 18°. | | Rnt Bot-s | metres] of cliff lines. Slopes > 22° and ≤ 29°. | coastal bluff areas. Slopes > 29°. | | | | |
| | | Mudstone sequences | At least 100 metres from any | In proximity (within 25 metres) of prominent cliff | | Sandstone sequences | In proximity [within 10 metres] of cliff lines. | Prominent cliff or coastal bluff areas. | | | | |
| | | Rop | Slopes>0° and ≤5°. | lines. Slope>6° and ≤12°. | | Bot-m Mudstone | Slopes > 18° and ≤ 24° and in proximity [within 10 | Slopes > 24*. Prominent cliffs or coastal | | | | |
| | | ICAT | Slopes>0° and ≤5°. And | Slope > 5° and ≤ 18° and where groundwater > 3m | | Rnp Rnp | metres] of cliff lines. Slopes > 12° and ≤ 18° | bluff areas. Slopes > 18° and cliff or bluff areas | | | | |
| | | Qa&Qd Qhd& | At least 50m away from a lake shore or riverflat, and | below surface. Slope>5° and ≤24° and | | NOIL | Slopes>18 ^e and ≤27 ^e and where groundwater is>3m | Slopes>27 ^s and where groundwater>3m below | | | | |
| | | Qhbr | At least 60m away from a beach. | below surface | | Qa & Qd Qhd & Qhbr | Slopes > 12° and ≤ 15° and where groundwater > 3m | Slopes > 15° and where groundwater < 3m below | | | | |
| | | | Sinnes > 0° and < 5° | shore/river flat. | | IDEN | And at least 60m from a beach. | surface. Beachfront areas and within 60m of beach. | | | | |
| | | Qs | And at least 25m away from a cliff area. | where groundwater > 3m below surface. | | | Slopes > 18 [°] and ≤ 27 [°] and where groundwater > 3m below surface | Slopes > 27° and where groundwater > 3m below | | | | |
| | | [deeperthan 2 metres] | | Slope > 5° and ≤ 12° and where groundwater < 3m | | Qs [deeper than 2 metres] | Slopes > 12° and ≤ 15° and where groundwater < 3m | Slopes > 15° and where groundwater < 3m below | | | | |
| | | | | or within 25m of a cliff area. | | | And at least 25m from a cliff area. | Surrace. Or within 25m of a cliff area. | | | | |
| | Table M | 11 - Low & Medium | Hazard Areas | | Table M | 12 – High & Immediate | High Hazard Areas | | | | | |
| | 3.7.1 | .2.2 Geotec | hnical Reports | | | | | | | Yes | As abov | |
| | a Wh | ere this ass | essment indicates th | nat a lot has a Hazar | rd Cat | egory of 2 or | r above, Council wil | l require that the D | evelopment Application be supported by a | | | |
| | geote | echnical rep | ort that considers ge | eotechnical condition | ons, st | tability and i | mpact of developm | ent on the stability | on the site (and adjoining lots). | <u> </u> | | |

DCP Compliance Table – 109 & 113 - 115 Springwood Street Ettalong and 1A Britannia Street Umina Beach

ent

scheme threshold an authority conferred by an approval of tive Vegetation Panel under Part 2.4 of the SEPP.

the circumstances we anticipate a permit would be issued by uncil for the proposed removal of trees.

te is relatively flat and is not likely to be subject to potential ip issues.

buglas Partners geotechnical design parameters for footings e different geotechnical conditions encountered at the site will usidered at the detailed design stage (see **Appendix 10** of SEE).

ove. Refer to **Appendix 10** of the SEE.

| DCP Section | DCP Provision | | Compliance | Comm | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | b Where this assessment indicates that a lot or part of a lot has a Hazard Category of 3 or above, Council will require that the Development Application be supported by a geotechnical report that considers geotechnical conditions, stability and impact of development on the stability on the site (and adjoining lots). c Where this assessment indicates that a lot has a Hazard Category of 4, Council will require that a Development Application be supported by a comprehensive geotechnical report that considers geotechnical conditions, stability and impact of development on the stability on the site (and adjoining lots). | | | | | | | |
| | HAZARD CATEGORY | LEVEL OF GEOTECHNICAL REPORT REQUIRED | | | | | | |
| | Category 1 | Not required unless the development is of extensive proportions and/or a major structure is proposed. | | | | | | |
| | Calegory 2 | A Class 2 [see Table R2] geotechnical report required prior to site development. | | | | | | |
| | Category 3 | A Class 1 [see Table R2) geotechnical report [i.e. detailed geotechnical investigation] prior to development. A "post development report" also required. | | | | | | |
| | Category 4 | Comprehensive geotechnical investigation and a Class 1 [see Table R2] geotechnical report is required before consideration of any development. A 'post development report' also required. | | | | | | |
| | d The minimum informatio | n required in the geotechnical report is described in Table 3 below | | | | | | |

nent