

# DA 61592/2021

## 16 WARRAH STREET ETTALONG BEACH

I am a Peninsula resident and object to DA 61592/2021 as it is non-compliant, not in the public interest and is not compatible with the desired future character of the area.

The areas of non-compliance include

- \*Minimum block size of 750 m2 not met.

- \*Unit 2 POS minimum size of 45m2 not met.

- \*Unit 1 POS encroaches on the front setback making it non-compliant.

- \*No visitor parking provided. Clark and Dowdle state there is an abundance of off street parking available in Warrah Street which is false.

- \*Rear setback does not meet the minimum 4.5m requirement.

- \*Who will monitor the proposed Landscaping Plan and its maintenance?

No updated/amended SEE has been provided. Clarke and Dowdle usually make misleading and false statements in the SEE they provide so this can't be relied upon anyway.

The new common theme seems to be that previously rejected non-compliant applications drafted by Knight and Mapleton are now being re-designed by ELK. ELK are still unable to design a complying development! Will the next step be the LEC? What a waste of CCC resources and rate-payers money.

Why do Developers continually submit non-complaint proposals? Is it because certain CCC staff have a history of recommending them for approval. CCC need to acknowledge the fact that there is an issue with overdevelopment in Warrah Street and send a message to Developers that is can't and won't be tolerated or the Peninsula will not be liveable or sustainable in the near future.