

DA 82/2024 107 Australia Ave Umina Beach

I am making an objection to this DA. I live in the Peninsula and am often in Australia Ave.

The residential area of Umina Beach is rapidly becoming densely populated. Along with this densification we see a CCDCP that has allowed smaller side setbacks which leave no room for deep soil and the planting of canopy trees and no comfortably private division between properties.

Here is a development that is asking for setbacks to be further reduced. What is the point of a control plan if it is prepared to relax even further, the setbacks.

The plans suggest removal of the jacaranda. Canopy loss is another issue related to development applications. This tree is not in the way of the pool. It could be trimmed and the residents benefit from the shade and the beauty that it offers. The photo below shows the jacaranda and the large canopy that offers shade.

This DA offers no Landscape Plan which is a requirement. Is the developer planning to remove vegetation and not replace any?

Off street car parking has been suggested at the back. I cannot see on the plans where a parking space has been set aside on the site. The back lane is already being used for parking. See photo below. Back lane parking is becoming a hindrance to traffic flow and should not be encouraged.

The photo below shows the lane behind 107 Australia Ave known as Lens Ave according to this DA.

The arrow indicates the jacaranda.

